

**MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC**  
**A PROFESSIONAL LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY**  
**PATENTS, TRADEMARKS, COPYRIGHTS, AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**  
**8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 200**  
**VIENNA, VIRGINIA 22182-3817**  
**TELEPHONE (703) 761-4100**  
**FACSIMILE (703) 761-2375; (703) 761-2376**

**APPLICATION  
FOR  
UNITED STATES  
LETTERS PATENT**

**APPLICANT: YOSHIYUKI SOGAWA**

**FOR: STEREOSCOPIC IMAGE PROCESSING  
APPARATUS AND THE METHOD OF  
PROCESSING STEREOSCOPIC IMAGES**

**DOCKET NO.: F05-155625M/KQK**

1 TITLE OF THE INVENTION

2 STEREOSCOPIC IMAGE PROCESSING APPARATUS AND THE METHOD OF  
3 PROCESSING STEREOSCOPIC IMAGES

4

5 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

6 1. Field of the invention

7           The present invention relates to a stereoscopic image  
8 processing apparatus. More particularly, the present invention  
9 relates to a stereoscopic image processing apparatus in which  
10 so-called stereo matching is performed using a variable size of  
11 the small image region and to the method of such stereo matching.

12 2. Discussion of related arts

13           In recent years, a stereoscopic image processing  
14 apparatus for calculating a distance to an object using a pair  
15 of picture images, has been put to practical use. The stereoscopic  
16 image processing apparatus calculates a positional deviation  
17 between a pair of images of an object, namely, a parallax. In  
18 calculating the parallax, a plurality of small image regions,  
19 that is, pixel blocks are provided in a lateral line on one  
20 reference image and the other comparison image, respectively and  
21 groups of pixel blocks positionally corresponding to each other  
22 are picked up from one frame of picture image (stereo matching)  
23 and a group of parallaxes is calculated for every frame of picture  
24 image. Distance data corresponding to each coordinate position  
25 of the picture image are calculated from the parallaxes.

1           In evaluating the correlation of brightness  
2 characteristic between reference and comparison pixel blocks,  
3 as disclosed in Japanese Patent Laid-open No. Toku-Kai 2002-267441,  
4 the pixel block of a large area or size is advantageous from the  
5 point of the reliability of the stereo matching because of its  
6 increased number of pixels included in the block.

7           However, in case of using the pixel block of a large  
8 size, there is a likelihood that the position of a target pixel  
9 block from which a parallax is calculated is deviated from the  
10 actual coordinate position corresponding to the parallax  
11 calculated with respect to the pixel block, because, when the  
12 correlation of brightness between the paired pixel blocks is  
13 evaluated, the position of the identified pixel blocks on the  
14 comparison image is largely affected by a portion having a large  
15 brightness change. Accordingly, the pixel block having a large  
16 size produces erroneous correlations leading to a positional  
17 deviation of parallaxes. As a result, this positional deviation  
18 of parallaxes has a possibility of exacerbating the recognition  
19 accuracy of objects. In case of an object opposing to an axis  
20 of the camera, the recognition accuracy is not affected so much,  
21 however, in case of objects obliquely imaged like grounds, roads,  
22 the deviation of parallaxes has a serious effect leading to an  
23 erroneous recognition of grounds.

24           Further, under adverse image conditions such as rains,  
25 nighttime and the like, since the image has weaker contrasts than

1 in fine weather, if the security of the stereo matching is a first  
2 priority, the pixel block having a large size is more advantageous.  
3 Under such situations as being able to obtain the security of  
4 the stereo matching, however, the small size pixel block is  
5 advantageous in consideration of the positional deviation of  
6 parallaxes. In prior arts, in processing the stereo matching,  
7 the size of the pixel block has been treated as unchanged in such  
8 a condition as neglecting either of these problems.

9

#### 10 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

11 It is an object of the present invention to provide  
12 a stereoscopic image processing apparatus capable of enhancing  
13 the reliability of the stereo matching and of calculating  
14 parallaxes with high precision.

15 A stereoscopic image processing apparatus for  
16 calculating a parallax between a pair of images comprise  
17 correlation evaluating means for evaluating a correlation of  
18 brightness between a reference pixel block provided in one of  
19 the pair of images and a comparison pixel block provided in the  
20 other of the pair of images and region size changing over means  
21 for changing over a size of the reference and comparison pixel  
22 blocks. According to a first aspect of the present invention,  
23 the size of the reference and comparison pixel blocks is changed  
24 over in accordance with an area where the reference pixel block  
25 is located. The size of those pixel blocks is changed over to

1 a large size when the comparison small region is located in a  
2 lower area of the image.

3 According to a second aspect of the present invention,  
4 the size of the pixel blocks is changed over in accordance with  
5 imaging conditions such as rain, fog, snow, backlight, nighttime,  
6 snow on roads, stains or droplets on front windshield and the  
7 like.

8

#### 9 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

10 Fig. 1 is a schematic block diagram showing a  
11 stereoscopic image processing apparatus according to a first  
12 embodiment of the present invention;

13 Fig. 2 is an explanatory view showing a pixel block  
14 having a larger size than specified;

15 Fig. 3 is an explanatory view of respective areas in  
16 which the size of the pixel block  $PB_{ij}$  is changed over;

17 Fig. 4a is an explanatory view showing an example of  
18 a reference pixel block;

19 Fig. 4b is an explanatory view showing an example of  
20 a comparison pixel block;

21 Fig. 5a is an explanatory view showing an example of  
22 weighting factors established in a pixel block of  $8 \times 8$  pixels;

23 Fig. 5b is an explanatory view showing an example of  
24 weighting factors established in a pixel block of  $4 \times 4$  pixels;

25 Fig. 6 is a schematic block diagram showing a

1 stereoscopic image processing apparatus according to a second  
2 embodiment of the present invention; and

3 Fig. 7 is a flowchart showing processes for changing  
4 over the size of a pixel block.

5

#### 6 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

7 Referring now to Fig. 1, reference numeral 1 denotes  
8 a stereoscopic image processing apparatus functioning as a part  
9 of a vehicle surroundings monitoring apparatus. The stereoscopic  
10 image processing apparatus 1 recognizes circumstances in front  
11 of an own vehicle based on information given through stereoscopic  
12 image processes from a pair of images.

13 A stereoscopic camera for imaging exterior sceneries  
14 is mounted in the vicinity of a rear mirror of an own vehicle  
15 and is constituted by a pair of main and sub cameras 2, 3. The  
16 respective cameras 2, 3 incorporate an image sensor (CCD sensor,  
17 CMOS sensor or the like). The main camera 2 takes reference (right )  
18 images and the sub camera 3 takes comparison (left) image and  
19 these images are used for the stereoscopic image processing.  
20 Analogue images are outputted from the respective cameras 2, 3  
21 in a mutually synchronized condition and are converted into digital  
22 images having a specified number of gradations (for example, 256  
23 gradations in gray scale) by A/D converters 4, 5.

24 A pair of digitized image data are subjected to  
25 brightness corrections, geometrical conversions and the like in

1 an image correcting section 6. Generally, the installation of  
2 the paired cameras 2, 3 in the vehicle is accompanied by errors  
3 to some extent and the left and right have deviations caused by  
4 these errors, respectively. In order to correct the deviations,  
5 the geometrical conversions such as rotations, parallel  
6 translations of images are performed using the Affine  
7 transformation.

8           Through these image processes, reference images are  
9 obtained from the main camera 2 and comparison images are obtained  
10 from the sub camera 3. Thus obtained image data are constituted  
11 by a set of pixels having brightness ranging from 0 to 255. The  
12 image plane formed by the image data is expressed by the  $i$ - $j$   
13 coordinate system having an origin at the left bottom corner of  
14 the image. The  $i$  coordinate axis extends in a horizontal direction  
15 of the image plane and the  $j$  coordinate axis extends in a vertical  
16 direction. The stereoscopic image data for one frame are outputted  
17 to a stereoscopic image processing unit 7 which will be described  
18 hereinafter and stored in an image data memory 11.

19           The stereoscopic image processing unit 7 is constituted  
20 by a stereo matching section 8, a parallax calculating section  
21 9 and a region control section 10 and calculates distance data  
22 of the picture image for one frame based on the reference image  
23 data and the comparison image data. The distance data are a group  
24 of parallaxes, that is, a set of parallaxes  $d$  calculated one by  
25 one for every reference pixel block  $PB_{ij}$ . The pixel block is an

1 image region having a specified size on the image plane formed  
2 by the reference image data and a calculation unit for one  
3 parallax.

4           In calculating the parallax  $d$  with respect to a certain  
5 reference pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  on the reference image, a comparison  
6 pixel block (having the same size as that of the reference pixel  
7 block) of which brightness characteristic having a correlation  
8 with that of the reference pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is identified in the  
9 comparison image. The distance to an object projected on the  
10 stereoscopic image is expressed as a parallax in the stereoscopic  
11 image, that is, a horizontal deviation between the reference  
12 image and the comparison image. Accordingly, in searching a  
13 comparison pixel block having a correlation in the comparison  
14 image, such comparison pixel block should be searched on the same  
15 horizontal line (epipolar line) as  $j$  coordinate. The stereo  
16 matching section 8 evaluates the brightness correlation between  
17 the reference pixel block and the comparison pixel block, while  
18 the comparison pixel block is shifted successively every one pixel  
19 on the epipolar line within a specified range of  $i$  coordinate  
20 established by the position of the reference pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ .  
21 Then, based on the result of the evaluation, the reference  
22 parallax calculating section 9 establishes the horizontal  
23 deviation of the comparison pixel block having a highest  
24 correlation from the reference pixel block to a parallax  $d$  of  
25 the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ .



1           Thus, the stereo matching section 8 evaluates the  
2 correlation between the reference pixel block  $P_{bij}$  and the  
3 comparison pixel block using so-called "city block distance",  
4 one of correlation evaluation methods. The comparison pixel block  
5 having a correlation with the reference pixel block is located  
6 at a position where the city block distance  $CB$  becomes minimum.

7           Since the parallax  $d$  is an essential parameter in  
8 transforming two-dimensional planes to three-dimensional spaces,  
9 the resolution of distance data, that is, the recognition ability  
10 of three dimensions rises with an increased number of the parallax  
11 data. Normally, the size of the pixel block  $P_{bij}$  necessary for  
12 the stereo matching is around  $4 \times 4$  pixels. For example, in case  
13 where the specified size of the pixel block  $P_{bij}$  is established  
14 to  $4 \times 4$  pixels,  $50 \times 128$  parallaxes are calculated from one frame  
15 of image.

16           An increase of the number of pixels in the block, that  
17 is, the up-sizing of the pixel block  $P_{bij}$  is preferable from the  
18 view point of increasing brightness information in order to  
19 ensure the stereo matching. As shown in Fig. 2, a pixel block  
20  $P_{bij}$  having  $8 \times 8$  pixels for example can be obtained by enlarging  
21 the width of the pixel block having  $4 \times 4$  pixels around the pixel  
22 block  $P_{bij}$  by 4 pixels left and right, upward and downward,  
23 respectively.

24           In up-sizing the pixel block  $P_{bij}$ , however, there is  
25 a possibility that the coordinate position corresponding to the

1 parallax  $d$  of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  differs from the coordinate  
2 position corresponding to the calculated parallax  $Z$  of the enlarged  
3 pixel block. Basically, since the three-dimensional recognition  
4 using the stereo matching is performed on the basis of the parallax  
5  $d$  and the coordinate position corresponding to the parallax  $d$   
6 of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ , the positional deviation on coordinates  
7 of the calculated parallax  $d$  may cause loose recognitions of  
8 vertical and horizontal positions. Particularly, objects not  
9 opposite to the image plane, for example, roads, lane markers  
10 and the like, are apt to be recognized loosely.

11           One of the features of the embodiment is that the region  
12 control section 10 changes the size of the reference pixel block  
13  $P_{Bij}$  and the comparison pixel block in evaluating the correlation  
14 between the reference pixel block and the comparison pixel block.  
15 That is, the region control section 10 can vary the size of the  
16 reference pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  according to the area where the reference  
17 pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is located. In this embodiment, the size of the  
18 reference pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is varied according to whether objects  
19 are projected in an opposed manner to the image plane or objects  
20 are projected obliquely with respect to the image plane.

21           Referring to Fig. 3, in this embodiment, a boundary  
22 line BL extending horizontally is provided on the image plane  
23 and the size of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is controlled differently  
24 at the respective areas divided by the boundary line BL.  
25 Specifically, the size of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is established

1 to 8 x 8 pixels at the area above the boundary line BL and the  
 2 size of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> is established to 4 x 4 pixels at  
 3 the area below the boundary line BL. The position of the boundary  
 4 line BL is determined by a boundary determination section 15 which  
 5 will be described hereinafter. The area below the boundary line  
 6 BL is an area on which grounds, roads and the like are projected  
 7 and the area above the boundary line BL is an area on which solid  
 8 objects existing on the ground are projected.

9 Fig. 4a shows an example of a reference pixel block  
 10 PB<sub>ij</sub> having 8 x 8 pixels and Fig. 4b shows an example of a comparison  
 11 pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> having 8 x 8 pixels. The city block distance  
 12 CB is expressed as follows:

13 [Formula 1]

$$\begin{aligned}
 14 \quad CB = & \sum (|A_{33} - B_{33}| + \dots + |A_{66} - B_{66}|) \\
 15 & + K \sum (|A_{11} - B_{11}| + \dots + |A_{88} - B_{88}|) \\
 16 & - (|A_{33} - B_{33}| + \dots + |A_{66} - B_{66}|)
 \end{aligned}$$

17 where A<sub>11</sub>...A<sub>88</sub> are brightness values of respective pixels p<sub>ij</sub>  
 18 in the reference pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> and B<sub>11</sub>...B<sub>88</sub> are brightness  
 19 values of respective pixels p<sub>ij</sub> in the comparison pixel block  
 20 PB<sub>ij</sub>.

21 In the formula 1, the first term is the sum of the absolute  
 22 values of differences of brightness values A<sub>ij</sub>, B<sub>ij</sub> positionally  
 23 corresponding in a central region (4 x 4 pixels) and the second  
 24 term is the sum of the absolute values of differences of brightness  
 25 values A<sub>ij</sub>, B<sub>ij</sub> positionally corresponding in a surrounding

1 region (obtained by subtracting central region from  $8 \times 8$  pixels) .  
2 The city block distance CB is calculated by adding the first term  
3 and the second term having a coefficient K. The coefficient K  
4 is given from the region control section 10 to the stereo matching  
5 section 8 and changes over from 1 to 0 and vice versa according  
6 to the position of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  and the position of the  
7 boundary line BL. Specifically, when the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is located  
8 at the area above the boundary line BL, the region control section  
9 10 outputs an instruction that the coefficient K should be 1.0  
10 and when the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is located at the area below the  
11 boundary line BL, the region control section 10 outputs an  
12 instruction that K should be 0.

13 In case where  $K = 1$  is given, the stereo matching  
14 section 8 evaluates the correlation with the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$   
15 having  $8 \times 8$  pixels (hereinafter referred to as the  $8 \times 8$  pixel  
16 block). Further, in case where  $K = 0$  is given, the stereo matching  
17 section 8 evaluates the correlation with the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$   
18 having  $4 \times 4$  pixels (hereinafter referred to as the  $4 \times 4$  pixel  
19 block). Thus, the region control section 10 acts as giving two  
20 sizes of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ ,  $4 \times 4$  pixels for a small size and  
21  $8 \times 8$  pixels for a large size.

22 In this embodiment, the number of calculated parallaxes  
23 d is equal to the number of the pixel blocks  $P_{Bij}$  having  $4 \times 4$   
24 pixels. Accordingly, in case where the  $4 \times 4$  pixel blocks  $P_{Bij}$   
25 are used according to the region control, after the evaluation

1 of correlation is finished for a given pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ , as shown  
2 in Fig. 2, the next object of evaluation is an adjacent pixel  
3 block  $P_{Bi+1j}$ . On the other hand, in case where the  $8 \times 8$  pixel  
4 blocks  $P_{Bij}$  are used according to the region control, after the  
5 evaluation of correlation is finished for a given pixel block  
6  $P_{Bij}$ , as shown in Fig. 2, the next object of evaluation shifts  
7 to a  $8 \times 8$  pixel block  $P_{Bi+1j}$  horizontally overlapped by two pixels  
8 with the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ . That is, the center of the pixel block  
9  $P_{Bij}$  is away from the center of the pixel block  $P_{Bi+1j}$  by four  
10 pixels. Similarly, the next object of evaluation is a pixel block  
11  $P_{Bij+1}$ , this pixel block  $P_{Bij+1}$  is a pixel block having  $8 \times 8$   
12 pixels vertically overlapped by two pixels with the pixel block  
13  $P_{Bij}$ .

14 In case where the  $8 \times 8$  pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is used,  
15 sometimes the surrounding region of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  goes  
16 out of the effective image region at the edge of the four sides  
17 of the image. In this case, the correlation is evaluated with  
18 the small size,  $4 \times 4$  pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ . The blank space is provided  
19 along the circumferential edge of the region above the boundary  
20 line BL for that purpose.

21 Thus, the stereo matching section 8 calculates the city  
22 block distance CB for every pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  using the pixel block  
23  $P_{Bij}$  having  $4 \times 4$  or  $8 \times 8$  pixels, by means of horizontally shifting  
24 the comparison pixel block successively by one pixel. Further,  
25 the parallax calculating section 9 selects the comparison pixel

1 block having the smallest city block distance and calculates the  
2 horizontal deviation between the reference pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  and  
3 the comparison pixel block as the parallax  $d$ . The stereoscopic  
4 image processing unit 7 calculates the parallaxes  $d$  for the entire  
5 image of one frame size, while changing the size of the pixel  
6 block  $P_{Bij}$ . Thus obtained distance data  $D$  are stored in a distance  
7 memory 12.

8           Reference numeral 13 denotes a micro-computer  
9 constituted by CPU, ROM, RAM, Input/Output interface and the like.  
10 In order to understand functionally, the micro-computer 13 is  
11 constituted of a recognition section 14 and a boundary  
12 determination section 15.

13           Based on respective information stored in the image  
14 data memory 11 and the distance data memory 12, the recognition  
15 section 14 recognizes roads and the like ahead of the own vehicle  
16 (road profiles recognition) or recognizes solid objects like  
17 vehicles in front of the own vehicle (solid objects recognition).

18           The road profiles recognition is to express  
19 three-dimensional road profiles by the function concerning left  
20 and right lane markers, no passing markers and the like and to  
21 establish respective parameters to such values as agreeing with  
22 actual road profiles (straight road, curved road, or ups and downs).  
23 For example, the recognition section 14 reads reference image data  
24 from the image data memory 11 and identifies an object caused  
25 by markers from marker edges, that is, horizontal brightness edges

1 (portion having a large variation of brightness between images  
2 adjacent to each other).

3           Substituting the coordinates  $(i, j)$  and the parallax  
4  $d$  into a known coordinate conversion formula for every identified  
5 marker edge, coordinates  $(x, y, z)$  in the actual space established  
6 on the own vehicle is calculated. Thus established coordinate  
7 system has an origin on the road surface directly underneath the  
8 center of two cameras (stereoscopic camera),  $x$  axis extending  
9 in a widthwise direction of the own vehicle,  $y$  axis extending  
10 in a vertical direction of the vehicle and  $z$  axis extending in  
11 a lengthwise direction of the vehicle. Marker models are prepared  
12 based on the coordinates  $(x, y, z)$  of the respective marker edges  
13 in the actual space. That is, first, an approximation line is  
14 obtained for every specified interval with respect to the  
15 respective left and right marker edges extending forwardly. A  
16 marker model is expressed like a folded line by connecting thus  
17 obtained approximation lines with each other. Further, the marker  
18 model is constituted by a curve function  $(X = f(Z))$  representing  
19 a curvature of the curve and a grade function  $(Y = f(Z))$  representing  
20 a grade or an up and down. Thus, the three dimensional conditions  
21 of a road in the real space can be expressed by the left and right  
22 marker models.

23           Further, the recognition section 14 identifies the data  
24 above road surfaces as candidates of solid objects based on the  
25 detected road profiles (marker models). The candidates having

1 similar distances in terms of z and x directions are treated as  
2 one group of solid objects. That is, the recognition section 14  
3 identifies the group of solid objects located on the same lane  
4 as a preceding vehicle and identifies the group of solid objects  
5 located outside of the lane is identified as an oncoming vehicle,  
6 an obstacle or a side wall. Further, the recognition section 14  
7 has a function of warning a driver by operating a warning device  
8 in case where it is judged that a warning is needed based on the  
9 result of the recognition. Also, the recognition section 14 has  
10 a function of controlling a vehicle by shifting down an automatic  
11 transmission, reducing engine power, or applying brakes based  
12 on the result of the recognition.

13           The boundary determination section 15 determines the  
14 boundary line BL for dividing the image plane into a plurality  
15 of areas. In this embodiment, the image plane is divided by a  
16 boundary line BL extending horizontally into two areas, an area  
17 on which road surfaces are projected and an area in which a solid  
18 object exists on the road surfaces. The position of the boundary  
19 line BL may be determined according to the statistics method,  
20 however, since the vehicle pitches and the road has ups and downs,  
21 it is preferable that the position of the boundary line BL is  
22 variable. According to the embodiment of the present invention,  
23 the boundary determination section 15 controls the position of  
24 the boundary line BL.

25           For that purpose, the boundary determination section



1 15 must know the present position of the ground surface.  
2 Specifically, first, the boundary determination section 15  
3 calculates a vanishing point from a point of intersection of two  
4 left and right lane markers on the image plane based on the road  
5 profile recognized by the recognition section 14, using the  
6 parallelism of the lane markers. The boundary position between  
7 the ground and solid objects is determined to be located at a  
8 position downwardly offset by a few pixels. The boundary line  
9 BL is provided at the position. At this moment, the boundary  
10 determination section 15 outputs parameters for determining the  
11 boundary line BL to the region control section 10.

12           As understood from the description above, according  
13 to the method of processing stereoscopic images described in the  
14 first embodiment, the size of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> can be changed  
15 over between the small size and the large size in accordance with  
16 the area in the image plane. Hence, the correlation is evaluated  
17 with the 8 x 8 pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> in the area on which solid objects  
18 are projected and the correlation is evaluated with the 4 x 4  
19 pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> in the area on which road surfaces are projected.  
20 As a result, the large size pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> can ensure the stereo  
21 matching. On the other hand, the small size pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub>  
22 prevents deviations of parallaxes caused by the large size of  
23 the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub>. As a result, the recognition accuracy of  
24 the road surface, particularly in a horizontal and vertical  
25 direction can be ensured.

1           In this embodiment, the size of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub>  
2   is changed over between two sizes, 4 x 4 pixels and 8 x 8 pixels,  
3   however, the size of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> is not limited to these  
4   sizes. Appropriate number of pixels can be used as desired.  
5   Further, in this embodiment, the image plane is divided into two  
6   regions by the boundary line BL, however, the image plane may  
7   be divided into three or more areas by a plurality of boundary  
8   lines. In this case, the size of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> may be changed  
9   over according to the area.

10           In the aforesaid embodiment, the size of the pixel block  
11   PB<sub>ij</sub> is changed over by changing over the value of K in the formula  
12   1. On the other hand, the city block distance CB can be defined  
13   as the sum of weighted absolute value of the difference between  
14   two brightness values A<sub>ij</sub>, B<sub>ij</sub> corresponding to each other for  
15   the entire pixel blocks PB<sub>ij</sub>.

$$16 \quad CB = \sum (w_{11}|A_{11} - B_{11}| + \dots + w_{88}|A_{88} - B_{88}|) \quad (2)$$

17           Fig. 5a shows an example of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub> obtaining  
18   the size of 8 x 8 pixels by applying the weight factor w<sub>ij</sub> = 1  
19   to each pixel and Fig. 5b is an example of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub>  
20   obtaining the size of 4 x 4 pixels by applying the weight factor  
21   w<sub>ij</sub> = 1 to each pixel of the central region and w<sub>ij</sub> = 0 to each  
22   pixel of the surrounding region. The change of the weight factor  
23   w<sub>ij</sub> is instructed from the region control section 10 in the same  
24   manner as the change of the aforesaid coefficient K.

25           In case where the correlation of the pixel block PB<sub>ij</sub>

1 is evaluated with the large size (8 x 8 pixels) of the pixel block  
2  $PB_{ij}$ , as the matching goes apart from the center of the pixel  
3 block, the reliability of the comparison image data declines.  
4 To prevent this, the weight factors may be established to 1.0  
5 in the central region and at the same time the weight factors  
6 may be gradually decreased in the surrounding region.

7 Fig. 6 is a schematic block diagram showing a  
8 stereoscopic image processing apparatus 1a according to a second  
9 embodiment of the present invention. In the second embodiment,  
10 the components of the stereoscopic image processing apparatus  
11 1a which are identical to the first embodiment are denoted by  
12 identical reference numbers and are not described in detail.

13 The difference of the second embodiment from the first  
14 embodiment is to change over the size of the pixel block according  
15 to imaging circumstances. Specifically, the region control  
16 section 10 changes over the size of the pixel block  $PB_{ij}$  from  
17 the small size (4 x 4 pixels) to the large size (8 x 8 pixels)  
18 in surrounding conditions such as rain, nighttime, smog, backlight,  
19 snowy roads and the like, regardless of the area of the image.  
20 Because, since images taken in these conditions have small contrast,  
21 using the large size pixel block  $PB_{ij}$  is advantageous in securing  
22 the stereo matching.

23 Referring to Fig. 7, the routine of the flowchart is  
24 energized at a specified interval and executed by the region control  
25 section 10. First, at a step 1, it is judged whether or not the

1 weather is rain by a wiper switch (not shown) turned on or off.  
2 Otherwise, the judgment of rainy condition can be made based on  
3 the number of the data isolated from the grouped solid object  
4 data. Further, otherwise, the rainy condition can be judged from  
5 the number of mismatches which occurs when the brightness of the  
6 reference pixel block  $PB_{ij}$  coincides with the brightness of a  
7 positionally unrelated comparison pixel block. That is, in the  
8 rainy condition, droplets on the front windshield of the vehicle  
9 or raindrops increase isolated data or mismatches. Further, if  
10 it is detected that the wiper switch is turned on, more accurate  
11 judgment of the rainy condition can be expected.

12               In case where the judgment is affirmative, namely, in  
13 case where images are taken in the rainy condition, the program  
14 goes to a step 7 and in case where the judgment is negative, namely,  
15 in case of no rain, the program goes to a step 2.

16               At the step 2, it is judged whether or not images are  
17 taken in nighttime. The nighttime condition is judged from whether  
18 a head light switch is turned on or off. Otherwise, the nighttime  
19 condition can be judged from the quantity of exposure of the cameras  
20 2, 3. The quantity of exposure is calculated by an exposure control  
21 section (not shown). The exposure control section controls  
22 parameters (for example, shutter speeds, apertures of lenses and  
23 amplification gains) for adjusting the quantity of exposure so  
24 as to obtain an optimum brightness at the next frame of image  
25 based on the present brightness value. It is possible to judge

1 the nighttime condition by using these parameters as a judgment  
2 criteria. Further, it is possible to judge the nighttime condition  
3 more accurately by using the result of the judgment together with  
4 the ON/OFF condition of the headlight switch.

5           If the judgment of the nighttime condition is  
6 affirmative, that is, in case where images are taken in the nighttime,  
7 the program goes to the step 7 and if it is negative, that is,  
8 in case where it is not the nighttime condition, the program goes  
9 to a step 3.

10           Judgments of smog, backlight and snowy road are made  
11 at steps 3, 4 and 5, respectively. The judgment of smog is performed  
12 based on the number of the distance data (parallax  $d$ ) within a  
13 specified region and the number of the brightness edges in the  
14 image. The judgment of backlight is performed based on the quantity  
15 of exposure of the cameras 2, 3, the average brightness values  
16 at the upper part of the image and the average brightness values  
17 at the lower part of the image. Further, the judgment of snowy  
18 road is performed based on the average brightness or the number  
19 of brightness edges within a specified road surface area.

20           In case where all judgments from the step 1 to 5 are  
21 negative, since it is judged that the imaging condition is not  
22 so bad as needing the large size of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$ , the  
23 size of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is established to  $4 \times 4$  pixels. At  
24 this moment, the region control section 10 changes over the size  
25 of the pixel block from  $8 \times 8$  pixels to  $4 \times 4$  pixels. In case

1 where the size of the pixel block is already  $4 \times 4$  pixels, that  
2 size is maintained.

3           On the other hand, in case where either of the steps  
4 1 to 5 is affirmative, it is judged that the imaging condition  
5 is so bad as needing the large size of the pixel block for securing  
6 the stereo matching and the size of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is  
7 established to  $8 \times 8$  pixels. At this moment, the region control  
8 section 10 changes over the size of the pixel block from  $4 \times 4$   
9 pixels to  $8 \times 8$  pixels. In case where the size of the pixel block  
10 is already  $8 \times 8$  pixels, that size is maintained.

11           Thus, after the size of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  is  
12 determined, the stereoscopic image processing unit 7 calculates  
13 the city block distance CB for every comparison pixel block  $P_{Bij}$   
14 over the entire specified searching area while shifting the  
15 comparison pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  by one pixel horizontally/vertically  
16 and determines the comparison pixel block having the correlation  
17 in brightness between the reference and comparison pixel blocks.  
18 The stereoscopic image processing unit 7 calculates the parallaxes  
19  $d$  successively with respect to the image of one frame.

20           As described above, according to the second embodiment,  
21 since the optimum size of the pixel block  $P_{Bij}$  to be evaluated  
22 can be selected in accordance with the imaging conditions such  
23 as rain, smog, nighttime and the like, more accurate  
24 three-dimensional recognitions can be accomplished for the entire  
25 image of one frame.

1           In the embodiments described above, rain, nighttime,  
2 smog, snow on road are exemplified as bad imaging conditions,  
3 however, the present invention is not limited to these. Sandstorm,  
4 darkness in tunnels, stain on windshields, stain on lenses of  
5 the cameras 2, 3 etc. may be included in the bad imaging conditions.

6           The entire contents of Japanese Patent Application No.  
7 Tokugan 2002-282644 filed September 27, 2002, is incorporated  
8 herein by reference.

9           While the present invention has been disclosed in terms  
10 of the preferred embodiments in order to facilitate better  
11 understanding of the invention, it should be appreciated that  
12 the invention can be embodied in various ways without departing  
13 from the principle of the invention. Therefore, the invention  
14 should be understood to include all possible embodiments which  
15 can be embodied without departing from the principle of the  
16 invention set out in the appended claims.

17